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Powell's Mission Impossible

HOW MEDICAL TESTING HAS TURNED MILLIONS OF US INTO...

HUMAN GUINEA PIGS
New Drugs Stir Debate on Rules of Clinical Trials
By AMY HARMON, September 18, 2010

“Defenders of controlled trials say they are crucial in determining whether a drug really does extend life more than competing treatments. Without the hard proof the trials can provide, doctors are left to prescribe unsubstantiated hope — and an overstretched health care system is left to pay for it. …

“… critics …argue that the new science behind the drugs has eclipsed the old rules and ethics - of testing them...in some cases, drugs under development... may be so much more effective than their predecessors that putting half the potential beneficiaries into a control group, and delaying access to the drug to thousands of other patients, causes needless suffering.”
The goal of clinical research is to generate useful knowledge about human health and illness

Benefit to participants is \textit{not} the purpose of research (although it does occur)

People are the \textit{means} to developing useful knowledge; and are thus at risk of exploitation
Clinical research is different from clinical practice in ethically important ways

Different Goals
Different Methods
Different justification for risk to individuals
HIRAM S. DUDSON
1930 - 1993
Member,
Placebo Group
Examples where it is difficult to distinguish research and care
Examples of care with some research added
Quality improvement
Comparative effectiveness research
Research using clinical databases or clinical samples
Ethics of Clinical Research: Lessons From History

- Few rules. Physicians experimenting to benefit individuals
- “Utilitarian era” emphasis on benefit to society, inclusion of vulnerable groups
- Examination of the scope and limitations
- Rules and Regulations. Protection of human subjects
- Participation in research as a benefit
Lind- British Navy surgeon on the HMS Salisbury in the Channel Fleet

1747  first recorded clinical trial (?)

Lind’s evaluation of 6 different interventions on 12 sailors for the treatment of scurvy.
Louis Pasteur and Joseph Meister

Joseph severely bitten by rabid dog. Brought to Pasteur in hopes of preventing the disease.

Pasteur - not a medical doctor and had never successfully used the vaccine on a human.

Pasteur thought the boy would die from rabies.

Joseph did not get rabies and Pasteur was hailed as a hero.
Ignaz Semmelweis

First noticed a difference in the rates of puerperal fever and death between 2 clinics.

By careful examination of variables and data collection, concluded that the difference was the type of practitioner (obstetricians versus midwifes) (1841-1846)

Later, he showed that using chlorinated lime to sterilize obstetricians’ hands significantly reduced the rate of puerperal fever. (1847)
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Nazi war experiments

1946-49 Nuremberg Trial and formulation of the Nuremberg Code.
1954

- Almost 2 million children in the US
- Salk inactivated polio vaccine vs. placebo vs. no vaccine
- 80-90% effective against paralytic polio
Research with prisoners

Acres of SKIN

Human Experiments at Holmesburg Prison

A true story of abuse and exploitation in the name of medical science
“ETHICALLY IMPOSSIBLE”
STD Research in Guatemala from 1946 to 1948
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History

- Henry Beecher


- 22 examples in which patients “never had the risk satisfactorily explain to them, and it seems obvious that further hundreds have not known that they were the subjects of an experiment although grave consequences have been suffered.”
Among Beecher’s 22 examples:

- Withholding antibiotics from men with rheumatic fever,
- Injecting live cancer cells into nursing home patients (Jewish Chronic Disease Hospital),
- Transplanting melanoma from daughter to mother, who died about a year later.
USPHS study of syphilis (Tuskegee)

- Study of syphilis in African-American men in Macon County Alabama (1932-1972)
- USPHS actively tried to prevent men from receiving penicillin
- 1972 press reports caused DHEW to stop the study
- Congress passes National Research Act and forms National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research
National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research

Ethical principles underlying research:
Respect for Persons
Beneficence
Justice
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- Few rules. Physicians experimenting to benefit individuals
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- Examination of the scope and limitations
- *Rules and Regulations. Protection of human subjects*
- Participation in research as a benefit
U.S. Regulations and Guidelines

- The Common Rule (US 45CFR.46)
- 45CFR.46 Subparts B, C, D
- FDA regulations (US 21CFR50 and 56)
Codes and Guidelines

- Declaration of Helsinki (1964-2008)
- The Belmont Report (1979)
- ICH/GCP-International Conference on Harmonization- Good Clinical Practice (1996)
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Influence of AIDS activism
Explicit recognition of benefit of research with children
WHAT MAKES CLINICAL RESEARCH ETHICAL?
Guidance and regulations

- Guidance developed in response to historical events
- Some divergent recommendations
- Differences in interpretation
- Need for a systematic, coherent, universally applicable framework
Ethical framework: 8 principles

- Collaborative partnership
- Valuable scientific question
- Valid scientific methodology
- Fair subject selection
- Favorable risk-benefit
- Independent review
- Informed consent
- Respect for enrolled subjects

Ethical clinical research should be a collaborative partnership with the relevant partners, e.g.

- Collaboration in planning, conducting and overseeing research, and integrating research results into the health system
- Respect for contributions of partners
- Collaboration with existing systems of health care
Collaborative partnership can be facilitated by:

- Planning with policy makers and health system
- Community advisory boards
- Patient advocates on scientific advisory boards
- Advocates for research funding
- Collaborating investigators
- Information for practicing clinicians
- Etc.
Collaborative partnership

- NIH Council of Councils
- NIH Council of Public Representatives
- CABs
- Advocacy groups
Ethical clinical research should answer a valuable question, i.e., one that will generate new knowledge or understanding about human health or illness, i.e. a socially, clinically, or scientifically useful question.
Valuable Scientific Question

- Valuable to whom?
  - Participants
  - Community in which participants live?
  - Some other group
  - Society, future people etc?

- In whose view?

- How is value to be judged?
Phase 3 trial of RV144 prime-boost combination HIV vaccine in Thailand

- Some disagreement about whether there was sufficient scientific value and confidence in the vaccine product, strategy, design to warrant moving forward? (Science; 2004, 303 Feb- July)

- Some disagreement about the ‘value’ of the results (Oct 2009)
Ethical clinical research should be designed in a methodologically rigorous manner (design, methods, statistical power and methods, etc.) that will yield valid, reliable, generalizable, and interpretable data, and that is feasible.
CONTROL GROUP

OUT OF CONTROL GROUP.
Choice of endpoints
- e.g. ischemic or hemolytic stroke

Choice of design
- Randomized double blinded control
- Noninferiority or superiority

Choice of procedures
- Measures of outcome, length of follow-up

Statistical methods
- Power, methods, level of significance

Feasibility
Scientific objectives should guide inclusion criteria, recruitment strategies, and selection (not privilege or easy availability or vulnerability)

Minimize harms and fairly distribute harms and benefits

No exclusion without justification
Research as burden or benefit?

Research as ‘burden’
Subjects need protection

Research as ‘benefit’
Subjects need access
Is it preferable to test an early potentially risky therapy in healthy affected adults who can consent but have mild disease or in severely ill infants who are likely to die as infants?
Favorable risk-benefit

- Are risks to subjects necessary and minimized?
- Are risks justified by benefit to individual subjects and/or the importance of the knowledge to society?
- Are benefits maximized?

Non-maleficence and Beneficence
[I]nterests other than those of the subject may on some occasions be sufficient by themselves to justify the risks involved in the research, so long as the subjects’ rights have been protected.
Challenges

- Identifying risks- which ones count?
- Minimizing, limiting risks
- Direct vs. indirect benefits
Independent review

- To ensure ethical requirements have been fulfilled
- To check investigator biases and conflicts
- To assure the public that research is not exploiting individuals or groups
Risks ... are minimized.
Risks are justified by anticipated benefits, if any, to the subjects or the importance of the knowledge to be gained
Subjects will be selected and treated fairly
Informed consent is adequate
Informed consent ensures that individuals have the opportunity to decide whether they want to participate in research or continue participation and whether it is compatible with their goals, values and interests.

Respect for persons
Informed consent

- Disclosure of information
- Understanding
- Voluntary decision making
- Authorization
Ethical research requires continued respect for the rights and welfare of participants throughout research, including:

- Protecting confidentiality
- Monitoring welfare
- Recognizing right to withdraw
- Providing new information
- Informing participants of findings
- Planning for after the trial
Framework - What makes clinical research ethical?

Collaborative partnership

Valuable scientific question

Valid scientific methodology

Fair subject selection

Favorable risk-benefit

Independent review

Informed consent

Respect for enrolled subjects

Systematic and sequential

Necessary

- Procedural requirements may be waived

Universal

- Adapted and implemented according to context

Requires balancing, specification
Conflicts occur between the principles. e.g.,

- Enhancing scientific validity may increase risks.
- What seems necessary to respect enrolled subjects or obtain informed consent may compromise scientific validity.
Ethical framework: 8 principles

In order to apply the principles, reconcile conflicts and make informed judgments about ethical research, need:

- Educated and informed investigators and research teams
- Educated IRBs with diverse members including investigators, statisticians, ethicists, and lay people.