Research with Adults Who Cannot Consent: When is it Ethical?

Letha Healey, M.D. CC, NIH



GS

- GS is a 34 year old woman originally from Warsaw who speaks only Polish.
- She has been married for 9 years. Her husband also speaks only Polish.
- SGS has no advance directive and has not designated a surrogate.



Incapacity

GS experiences a seizure. Work up reveals advanced HIV infection (CD4-20 cells/ml; HIV RNA-120,000 copies/ml) and multiple brain lesions.

She is unable to make decisions for herself and unable to communicate.



Initial Treatment

GS is admitted to a hospital, where she is started on treatment for presumed cerebral toxoplasmosis.

After two weeks of antibiotics, GS shows no signs of improvement.



NIH Contact

- The NIH is contacted to discuss possible enrollment in a protocol designed to test faster methods to distinguish cerebral toxoplasmosis from lymphoma.
- The protocol involves brain biopsy, lumbar puncture, nuclear imaging and blood draws, procedures that may not be done if the patient were cared for at an outside facility.



Surrogate Permission

The federal regulations allow incapacitated adults to be enrolled in non-emergency research by a legally authorized representative.

The IRB allows adults who cannot consent to be enrolled by an appropriate surrogate, per CC policy.



CC Policy (MAS 87-4)

- The IRB categorized the study as greater than minimal risk, but offering a prospect of benefit.
- For this category, CC policy requires court appointment of a surrogate, and a bioethics consult to ensure the family understands the risks and potential benefits.



Discussion with Husband

The team speaks with GS's husband, via a translator, to explain the risks and potential benefits, and make him aware of the policy requiring a court appointed surrogate.

The team learns that the husband has not been informed his wife is HIV+.



Questions

- Is it ethical to enroll adults who cannot consent and have no surrogate?
- Is a clinically assigned surrogate acceptable for research? Is the next of kin an acceptable surrogate?
- Do the courts offer a useful protection, or unnecessary barrier?

